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Problem Scenario

Client wants to select a Server with the lowest round trip time (RTT)

- Measure RTT with all Servers?
  - Wastes resources (eg. Bandwidth)
  - May overflow NAT Table – poor performance
  - May take a long time to gather all RTT measurements
    - After time t, RTT measurements are stale

Motivation

- Peer-to-peer (P2P) applications treat the Internet as a ‘black box’
- Client-Server model optimal selection can be improved
- Scenarios: Network Coordinate System (NCS) with large number of nodes or small data size can improve performance and resource allocation
Goal

A model that is able to predict the distance between two Nodes, given only a few measurements.

Network Coordinate Systems (NCS)

- Centralised
  - Predict using Synthetic Coordinates as described in Global Network Positioning (GNP) [1]
  - Requires “Landmark(s)” (most likely being Server Nodes or accurate Nodes)

- Decentralised
  - Predict using Network Coordinate System as described in Vivaldi [2]
  - “Landmark(s)” are optional

Model Space

- N-dimension Euclidean Model
  - At least 2D Model is required
  - Increasing $N$-dimensions substantially in Euclidean Model does not have significant improvements
    - May have an opposite undesired effect – increasing the computational power required for higher $N$-dimensions
  - Using a 3D to 5D Model is adequate
- 2D + Height Vector Model
- Spherical Model
- Cylindrical Model

Decentralised - Vivaldi

- Vivaldi is solving a simultaneous equation distributed in time and space
- Nodes iteratively update their own coordinates based on current network state
- A “Local Node” that is communicating with “Remote Node(s)” requires a very small sample of “Remote Node(s)” to get an overall state
- Nodes communicating can ‘piggyback’ on existing application traffic
  - Adds very little overhead
Springs Overestimate and Underestimate RTT

- Difference between **measured** and **calculated** RTT
- “Springs” compress and stretch to move Node D to new coordinate space after $n$ iterations of Vivaldi
  - Push and Pull effect (Hooke’s Law)

Triangle Inequality

- NCS assumption is that Triangle Inequality holds for Node paths.
- “The sum of the lengths of any two sides, must be greater than or equal to the length of remaining side.”
  - Eg. $bc \leq ab + ac$
Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 1)

- "Local Node" A will do sequence of communication with other "Remote Nodes" (B and C)

![Diagram showing sequence of communication]

Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 2)

- A receives B's Coordinates (-20, 15) and RTT (30ms)
- A calculates distance to B (50ms) \( \text{RTT}(A, B) = \sqrt{(A_x - B_x)^2 + (A_y - B_y)^2} \)

![Diagram showing distance calculation]
Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 3)

- **Overestimated:** A needs to be pulled towards B

Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 4)

- A (over n-iterations – *not instantly*) approaches B’s measured RTT. A adjusts coordinates \((A_x, A_y)\)
Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 5)

- A communicates with C

- Note: coordinate position of A has been moved (when A completed one iteration of Vivaldi with B)

Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 6)

- A receives C’s Coordinates (50, -25) and RTT (40ms)
- A calculates distance to C (20ms) $\text{RTT(A,B) = } \sqrt{(A_x - B_x)^2 + (A_y - B_y)^2}$
Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 7)

- **Underestimated:** $A$ needs to be pushed away from $C$

Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 8)

- $A$ (over $n$-iterations) approaches $C$'s **measured** RTT. $A$ adjusts coordinates $(A_x, A_y)$
Vivaldi Example 1 (Step 9)

- Previous slide, the distance between $A$ and $B$ now would be wrong
- Vivaldi process will *iterate* until all Local and Remote Nodes *measured* RTT have same *calculated* distance (or RTT)

Vivaldi “Landmarks” Example 2 (Step 1)

- 13 Stable “Landmark” Nodes (A to M) calculated their coordinates (over $n$-iterations). New Node N joins the process
Vivaldi “Landmarks” Example 2 (Step 2)

- 2D Euclidean Model requires at least 3 Remote Node samples, otherwise many solutions may exist in NCS
  - Number of Remote Node samples is equal to number of dimensions + 1 (3D would need 4, 4D would need 5, etc)

- N needs 3 Remote Node samples:
  - Does N select 3 random?
  - Does N select more than 3 random?
  - If “Landmarks” used:
    - Does N select 3 shortest RTT measured?
    - Does N select 3 longest RTT measured?
    - Does N select 3 different geographical locations?

Vivaldi “Landmarks” Example 2 (Step 3)

- N randomly selected Remote Nodes (E, F, and M). Measured RTT from E, F, and M are 200ms, 150ms, and 30ms respectively
Vivaldi “Landmarks” Example 2 (Step 4)

- \( N \) after \( n \)-iterations of Vivaldi determines coordinates and satisfies the computed RTT to be the same as measured RTT.

Vivaldi “Landmarks” Example 2 (Step 5)

- RTT between \( N \) and Remote Nodes (A, B, C, D, G, H, I, J, K, and L) can be predicted by the Euclidean Distance between their coordinates \textit{without} direct measurement.
Vivaldi “Landmarks” Example 2 (Step 6)

- **N** best option is **C** (25ms)
- **N** calculates the predicted RTT for **A**, **B**, **C**, **D**, **G**, **H**, **I**, **J**, **K**, and **L** faster than it takes to measure the RTT for each Remote Node
- Previous scenario had only 13 Remote Nodes, what if we had a large number of Remote Nodes (10,000, 100,000, 1 million, etc)?

Vivaldi space rotation

- Each Node in the Vivaldi process starts at the origin, and obtains a random starting position
- Vivaldi with different *seeds* (varying random starting position) using the same experimental data, an interesting observation is that:
  - the overall stable position in NCS in one *seed* universe becomes nearly like a rotation in the second *seed* universe
Vivaldi Caveats

- Triangle Inequality violations
- Malicious Nodes can affect the calculated coordinates

Demo of 3D Vivaldi Simulations

- Hard to conceptualise the overall Vivaldi process without a suitable simulation tool
Summary

- Vivaldi is a decentralised NCS
- 3D – 5D Euclidean Model is an acceptable model to use in NCS
- Vivaldi can optimise application performance and resource allocation
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